MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

508(c)(1)(a) Free Church • Sole Tanakh Authority

A Study for Judaism · Christianity · Islam

DOCUMENT 225

Captives of the Corso

A Covenant Deep Dive into the Mediterranean (Barbary) Slave Trade

Applying the Covenant's Own Law of Servitude, Kidnapping, and the Sojourner to Three Centuries of Bidirectional Raiding, Ransom, and Redemption Across the Mediterranean Sea

August 2026

Elder Kepha Arcemont, Founder

miqdashbethel.org • miqdashbethel@gmail.com

Texas and Louisiana

REACHING JUDAISM, CHRISTIANITY, AND ISLAM

✡ JUDAISM	† CHRISTIANITY	☪ ISLAM
The eved (עֶבֶד) of Torah is bounded by six-year release and a capital penalty for kidnapping a person to sell them (Shemot 21:16). The Torah's verdict on raiding and enslaving free coastal populations does not depend on which religion the raiders or the captives professed.	Catholic Europe was simultaneously the primary victim of this trade (over a million Christian captives) and, through the Knights of Malta's own corso and the galley-slave systems of France, Italy, and the Papal States, an active operator of a reciprocal Christian slave trade against Muslims.	The Barbary Regencies operated under Ottoman suzerainty and classical Islamic captivity law; the same milk al-yamin framework examined in Document 222 applied here, alongside a lucrative, state-sanctioned ransom economy that both sides ran for profit.

DOCTRINAL AUTHORITY — STANDING RULE OF MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

The sole binding authority of Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution is the *Tanakh* — the written covenant of **Yahweh**. No Talmudic tractate, Church council decree, papal encyclical, hadith collection, or extra-biblical tradition carries doctrinal weight equal to or greater than the written Torah. Where any tradition conflicts with the written covenant, the written covenant governs without exception. The Talmud, New Testament, and Qur'an are cited as historical reference and comparative witness — never as superseding authority. Miqdash Bethel uses the Written Tanakh as

its sole source for four reasons: it is the only text Judaism, Christianity, and Islam all recognize as originally given by **Yahweh**; it predates and underlies the Talmud, New Testament, and Qur'an, so testing those traditions against it follows the evidentiary direction of **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** — the earlier witness governs the later commentary; every later tradition that departs from the Tanakh does so by addition or reinterpretation, never by overturning the text itself, so the text remains the fixed standard; and **Yahweh**'s own words declare the covenant unchanging (Malachi 3:6; Tehillim (Psalms) 119:89), making the written covenant — not evolving institutional interpretation — the only stable ground for doctrine. All three great covenant traditions — Judaism, Christianity, and Islam — are addressed simultaneously and equally in every Miqdash Bethel document, because **Yahweh**'s covenant belongs to every nation that will receive it. The **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** two-or-three-witness evidentiary standard governs all textual claims in this document.

HOW TO READ THIS DOCUMENT

This document applies the sole authority of the written *Tanakh* to the historical record of the Mediterranean, or Barbary, slave trade — the centuries-long, bidirectional system of corsair raiding, capture, ransom, and bondage that crossed the entire Mediterranean basin between roughly 1500 and 1830. **Yahweh** (יהוה) and **Elohim** (אֱלֹהִים) appear in bold throughout. Hebrew book names are given with English in parentheses. Hebrew transliterations appear in ***bold italic*** with English meaning in parentheses at every occurrence. Scripture references are bold. Three audiences are addressed simultaneously: Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. Rabbinic commentary, the Qur'an, and hadith are cited as historical and comparative reference — never as binding authority. This document is the fourth in a planned covenant series on the world's historical slave trades, following Document 222 (Arab-Islamic trade), Document 223 (Transatlantic trade), and Document 224 (Indian Ocean trade). This trade is distinct from all three in one crucial respect: unlike the systems examined previously, the Mediterranean/Barbary trade was substantially bidirectional. North African Muslim corsairs enslaved an estimated one million or more European Christians between roughly 1500 and 1800, while Christian powers — chiefly the Knights of Malta, operating from a fortified island base for nearly three centuries — ran a mirror-image corso that enslaved Muslim sailors, soldiers, and travelers on a comparable, if less thoroughly quantified, scale. This document examines both directions of the trade honestly, rather than presenting only one side.

TANAKH BOOKS CITED IN THIS DOCUMENT

DIVISION	BOOKS CITED	PURPOSE IN THIS STUDY
Torah	Bereishit (Genesis), Shemot (Exodus), Vayikra (Leviticus), Devarim (Deuteronomy)	Foundation text — the covenant's own law of servitude, kidnapping, and the sojourner
Nevi'im (Prophets)	Yeshayahu (Isaiah), Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah), Yechezkel (Ezekiel), Amos, Nachum	Prophetic witness against oppression, man-stealing, and merchant exploitation
Ketuvim (Writings)	Tehillim (Psalms), Iyov (Job), Mishlei (Proverbs)	Wisdom witness to the dignity of the bondsman and the cry of the oppressed

I. WORD STUDY — CORSO, RESCATE, AND THE VOCABULARY OF RAID AND RANSOM

I-A. Eved (עֶבֶד) and Avad (עָבַד) — H5650 / H5647

The Hebrew noun ***eved*** (עֶבֶד) — Strong's **H5650** — derives from the verbal root ***avad*** (עָבַד) — **H5647** — "to work, serve, labor, worship." As in Documents 222–224, the Torah's vocabulary of bondage carries built-in legal limits absent from the Mediterranean system examined here. Two additional terms frame this specific trade: Italian/Spanish ***corso/corsario*** (the licensed raiding voyage itself, root of the English "corsair"), and Spanish ***rescate*** ("ransom") — the economic mechanism, distinct from outright chattel slavery elsewhere in this series, by which the majority of captives on both sides of the Mediterranean eventually returned home. Arabic ***fidya*** (فدية, "ransom/redemption") is the parallel term on the North African side, carrying direct resonance with the Torah's own ***pidyon*** (פִּדְיוֹן, redemption/ransom) vocabulary, root ***padah*** (פָּדָה) — **H6299**.

I-B. A Trade Defined by Ransom, Not Only by Permanent Bondage

What distinguishes the Mediterranean system from the trans-Saharan, Transatlantic, and Indian Ocean systems already examined in this series is the centrality of ransom as the trade's primary economic engine on the European side. A captive's market value in Algiers, Tunis, or Tripoli was set less by their labor potential than by the ransom a European government, family, or charitable order could be expected to pay. This produced a distinctive institution absent elsewhere in the series: dedicated religious orders — the Trinitarians and Mercedarians, examined in Section III below — whose entire purpose was negotiating and funding captive redemption. The existence of a

ransom economy does not diminish the underlying crime; it is simply a different economic structure than permanent chattel bondage, and this document evaluates it on its own terms.

II. THE COVENANT'S OWN LAW OF SERVITUDE, KIDNAPPING, AND REDEMPTION

This section restates, briefly, the Torah standard developed at length in Documents 222–224, so this document stands on its own, and adds one further text — the Torah's own law of redemption — directly relevant to this trade's ransom economy.

II-A. The Death Penalty for Man-Stealing (Shemot 21:16; Devarim 24:7)

"Whoever steals a man and sells him, or if he is found in his hand, he shall surely be put to death." (**Shemot (Exodus) 21:16**) This is the Torah's direct verdict on the corsair raid as such — the taking of a free person, of any nationality or religion, by force for commercial ransom or sale. **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 24:7** restates the same penalty. Applied evenly, per the Devarim 19:15 standard governing this whole series, this verdict falls equally on the corsair captains of Algiers and Salé and on the Knights of Malta's own corso captains — a point this document does not soften on either side.

II-B. Padah (פָּדָה) — The Torah's Law of Redemption (Vayikra 25:47-55; Shemot 21:8)

"If your brother becomes poor beside you and sells himself to you... in the year of jubilee he shall go out, he and his children with him... If a stranger or sojourner with you becomes rich, and your brother beside him becomes poor and sells himself to the stranger... after he is sold he may be redeemed (***yigga'el***, יִגְאָל). (**Vayikra (Leviticus) 25:47-48**) The Torah builds redemption — payment by a kinsman or the community to recover a person from bondage — directly into its own servitude law, using the ***ga'al*** (גָּאַל, "to redeem," root of ***go'el***, kinsman-redeemer) word family. This is the closest Torah parallel to the Trinitarian and Mercedarian ransom missions examined below — the Torah does not merely tolerate ransom as a workaround; it builds redemption into the structure of covenant law itself as the remedy for bondage.

II-C. The Fugitive-Slave Protection Law and the Sojourner Law (Devarim 23:15-16; Shemot 22:20-21)

"You shall not hand over to his master a slave who has escaped from his master to you... you shall not oppress him." (**Devarim (Deuteronomy) 23:15-16**) "You shall not wrong a sojourner or oppress him, for you were sojourners in the land of Egypt." (**Shemot (Exodus) 22:20**) As in Documents 222-224, these texts stand in direct contrast to a system on both shores of the

Mediterranean built on capture, forced conversion pressure, and the systematic denial of the sojourner's protected status to anyone who fell into the hands of the other side.

III. HISTORICAL WITNESS — THE NORTH AFRICAN CORSO AGAINST EUROPE

III-A. Scope: Robert Davis's Estimate and Its Reception

Historian Robert C. Davis, in *Christian Slaves, Muslim Masters: White Slavery in the Mediterranean, the Barbary Coast, and Italy, 1500-1800* (2003), developed a large-scale extrapolation from fragmentary European sources — ransom records, consular reports, redemption-order archives — to estimate that between 1 million and 1.25 million Europeans were enslaved by Barbary corsairs between 1530 and 1780, concentrated in the regencies of Algiers, Tunis, and Tripoli. This is by far the highest estimate offered; prior scholarship had generally counted only regional totals in the thousands or tens of thousands. Davis's methodology — assuming a roughly constant capture and replacement rate across two and a half centuries and extrapolating from partial records to the whole Barbary coast — has been influential but is genuinely contested among historians, some of whom argue it may overstate the total by treating short, incomplete series of records as representative of long periods and by not adequately accounting for regional and chronological variation. Per the Devarim 19:15 standard, this document presents Davis's figure as the most widely cited estimate while noting the methodological debate honestly rather than treating the number as beyond question.

III-B. Geographic Reach: From the Mediterranean Littoral to Iceland

Corsair raids struck coastal Italy, Spain, France, and the Adriatic constantly across three centuries, but the trade's reach extended far beyond the Mediterranean proper. In 1627 the Dutch renegade corsair ***Murat Reis*** (Jan Janszoon) led a raiding fleet on a roughly five-thousand-mile round trip to Iceland — an event still remembered in Icelandic national memory as the *Tyrkjaránið* ("Turkish Abductions") — striking Grindavík, Reykjavík, and the Westman Islands and carrying off more than 400 Icelanders into North African bondage; most never returned. Four years later, on 20 June 1631, corsairs under the same Murat Reis sacked the Irish village of Baltimore in West Cork, taking 107 known captives to the slave markets of Algiers; only a handful of women were ever ransomed, some as long as fourteen years later. Janszoon had also occupied Lundy Island in the Bristol Channel as a raiding base as early as 1627. The trade's northern reach — Iceland, Ireland, England, the Netherlands — is frequently underappreciated relative to its better-known Mediterranean core.

III-C. Life in Captivity: Ransom Valuation, Labor, and Conversion Pressure

Captive treatment on the North African side was calibrated overwhelmingly to ransom value rather than uniform cruelty or uniform mercy: those judged able to pay a substantial ransom, or possessing valuable skills (carpentry, military experience, seamanship), were treated with comparative care to preserve their market and negotiating value, while others were consigned to galley labor or construction projects under harsh conditions. Conversion to Islam (becoming a ***renegado***, "renegade") offered captives a path to reduced labor, marriage rights, and eventual manumission, and was pursued by a significant minority for pragmatic rather than theological reasons — some renegades, including Janszoon himself, rose to become corsair captains in their own right, illustrating the trade's genuinely cross-confessional and cross-national character rather than a simple religious binary.

IV. THE REDEMPTIONIST ORDERS — A TORAH-RESONANT INSTITUTION

The Trinitarian Order (Ordo Sanctissimae Trinitatis et Captivorum), founded near Paris in 1198, and the Mercedarian Order (Ordo Beatae Mariae de Mercede), founded in Barcelona in 1218, existed for the specific purpose of ransoming Christian captives held in North Africa — a direct institutional echo, though the covenant community did not originate it, of the Torah's own ***padah/ga'al*** redemption principle examined in Section II-B. Both orders raised funds from private donors, municipalities, and royal patrons and sent ransoming missions directly to Algiers, Tunis, Tetouan, and Salé. The Trinitarians are recorded as having ransomed approximately 90,000 captives across three centuries; a single Mercedarian campaign between 1600 and 1635 redeemed nearly 2,500 captives across eighteen missions. Among the most famous redeemed captives was the author ***Miguel de Cervantes***, held in Algiers for five years before his 1580 ransom, who was buried in Trinitarian habit at his death. Historians studying the orders' own records have noted an uncomfortable structural irony worth naming honestly: the very ransom payments that freed captives also functioned as a continuous revenue incentive for corsairs to capture more of them — the redemption economy and the raiding economy were, in practice, mutually reinforcing rather than opposed.

V. THE OTHER DIRECTION — MALTA, THE KNIGHTS OF ST. JOHN, AND THE CHRISTIAN CORSO

Honest evaluation under the Devarim 19:15 standard requires examining both sides of this trade. From their arrival on Malta in 1530 until Napoleon's seizure of the island in 1798, the Knights

Hospitaller of St. John operated their own ***corso*** — licensed raiding voyages against Muslim (and Muslim-flagged Jewish and other) shipping — as a central pillar of the Order's economy and self-understood religious mission. Malta became, in the words of specialist historians, the most important slave market in the Christian Mediterranean for nearly three centuries; Muslim captives were still being sold at auction on the island as late as 1797, the eve of Bonaparte's arrival. The Order's galleys required constant slave-rowed labor, supplied directly by its own raiding fleet; Grand Master Jean Parisot de Valette's private vessels alone are recorded as having taken roughly 3,000 Muslim and Jewish captives during his tenure. A 1749 plot by enslaved Muslims in Malta to revolt and assist an Ottoman invasion — discovered and suppressed before it could be carried out — is direct evidence of the scale and conditions of this reciprocal system. Livorno (under Medici Tuscany) and French royal galley fleets operated comparable systems of Muslim captive labor. This document names this reciprocal trade honestly rather than presenting Mediterranean slavery as a one-directional Muslim-against-Christian phenomenon; the Torah's verdict in Shemot 21:16 applies to the raiding captain flying the cross exactly as it applies to the one flying the crescent.

VI. THE END OF THE TRADE — NAVAL POWER AND COLONIAL CONQUEST

Rising European and American naval power, rather than a change of doctrine on either shore, ended the Mediterranean corso.

YEAR	EVENT
1801-1805	First Barbary War — the United States fights Tripoli over tribute demands and captured sailors; Jefferson refuses continued tribute payment
1815 (Jun)	Second Barbary War (Algerine War) — Commodore Stephen Decatur's squadron forces a treaty ending U.S. tribute to Algiers
1815 (autumn)	The Congress of Vienna's post-Napoleonic settlement reframes Barbary corsairing as a shared European security threat, opening the door to later intervention
1816 (Aug)	Bombardment of Algiers — a combined British and Dutch fleet under Lord Exmouth forces the Dey to free 1,083 Christian slaves
1822	Several European powers still paying periodic tribute to Algiers as late as this year, per the historical record
1830	French conquest of Algiers — ends the Barbary corso definitively; the Regency of Algiers becomes a French colonial possession

YEAR	EVENT
1798 / 1800	Napoleon's seizure of Malta (1798) ends nearly three centuries of the Knights of St. John's reciprocal Christian corso against Muslim shipping

VII. THREE-RELIGION AUDIT — HOW EACH TRADITION ENGAGES THIS TRADE

ELEMENT	JUDAISM	CHRISTIANITY	ISLAM
Primary Legal Text	Shemot 21:16; Devarim 24:7 — capital penalty for man-stealing, applied evenly to raiders of every flag; Vayikra 25:47-55 — the padah/ga'al redemption principle underlying the ransom economy on both shores	The Trinitarian and Mercedarian redemptionist orders institutionalized Christian ransom of captives as a corporal work of mercy — the trade's most organized humanitarian response documented in this series	Classical Islamic law (examined at length in Document 222) governed the legal status of captives in the Barbary regencies; Ottoman suzerainty over Algiers, Tunis, and Tripoli placed the trade within the wider Ottoman legal-political system
Historical Participation	Not the organizing religious or political framework for either direction of this trade; no distinct Jewish role documented in this study beyond incidental presence in Mediterranean commerce broadly	The Knights of Malta ran a nearly three-century reciprocal corso enslaving Muslim captives on a comparable scale, documented in Section V — a fact this document names without minimizing the Christian-victim side documented in Section III	The Barbary regencies of Algiers, Tunis, and Tripoli, plus the independent Sultanate of Morocco and the corsair republic of Salé, were the principal North African operators of the trade against European Christians for roughly three centuries
Covenant Departure	None documented — the Torah's own law was never the operative legal code for either side of this trade	The gap between the redemptionist orders' genuine charitable mission and the Knights of Malta's simultaneous operation of a mirror-image slave trade under the same religious banner is a covenant-relevant contradiction this document does not soften	The gap between classical Islamic manumission exhortations (examined in Doc. 222) and a state-sanctioned corso economy that, on the North African side, ran for three centuries under Ottoman tolerance
Covenant Witness	The two-or-three-witness standard (Devarim 19:15)	The redemptionist orders' padah-resonant ransom	The 1830 French conquest of Algiers and

ELEMENT	JUDAISM	CHRISTIANITY	ISLAM
	applied evenly to both shores of this trade — no side is exempted from evaluation by virtue of having also been a victim	mission stands as the closest real-world institutional parallel in this entire series to the Torah's own built-in redemption law (Vayikra 25:47-55)	the 1816 Anglo-Dutch bombardment mark the trade's forced end on the North African side, paralleling the 1798 French seizure of Malta ending the Christian corso

VIII. SEVEN COVENANT DECLARATIONS ON THE MEDITERRANEAN SLAVE TRADE

#	COVENANT DECLARATION	BASIS
I	Man-stealing for ransom or sale is a capital crime under the written covenant, and this verdict applies without exception to the raiding captain of any flag or religion — Barbary corsair and Knight of Malta alike.	Shemot (Exodus) 21:16; Devarim (Deuteronomy) 24:7
II	The Torah builds redemption directly into its own law of bondage. The padah/ga'al principle stands as the closest textual parallel in this entire series to the historical work of the Trinitarian and Mercedarian orders — ransom is not a mere workaround but a covenant-consistent remedy.	Vayikra (Leviticus) 25:47-55
III	A system that structurally rewards the ransom economy for producing more captives to ransom — as the historical record shows for the redemptionist orders — is not thereby cleansed of the underlying crime of man-stealing. Good-faith charitable response does not sanctify the trade that necessitated it.	Shemot (Exodus) 21:16, applied to the trade's structure as a whole
IV	Honest evaluation under the two-or-three-witness standard forbids treating this trade as a one-directional religious atrocity. The Knights of Malta's own nearly three-century corso against Muslim shipping is held to the identical textual standard as the Barbary corso against Christian shipping.	Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15
V	The covenant commands sanctuary and protection for the escaped bondsman and equal legal standing for the sojourner — the diametrical opposite of a system, on both Mediterranean shores, built on capture, conversion pressure, and denial of the stranger's protected status.	Devarim (Deuteronomy) 23:15-16; Shemot (Exodus) 22:20-21
VI	State power, not religious reform on either side, ended this trade — the 1815-1816 naval campaigns and the 1830 French conquest of Algiers on one shore, and Napoleon's 1798 seizure of Malta on the	Historical record, Section VI above

#	COVENANT DECLARATION	BASIS
	other. The covenant community should not mistake military defeat of a practice for its moral repudiation by those who ran it.	
VII	The estimate of one million or more Christian Europeans enslaved, and the comparably-scaled but less precisely quantified Muslim toll of the Maltese corso, together testify that the Mediterranean basin sustained a bidirectional trade in human beings for three centuries — a fact obscured when either side's history is told alone.	Historical record, Sections III and V above

IX. APPLICATION — WHAT THE COVENANT COMMUNITY DOES WITH THIS RECORD

First: Tell the whole trade, not the half that fits a preferred narrative.

This document's evidence resists both a narrative of purely Muslim aggression against innocent Christian Europe and a narrative that minimizes the very real scale of the Barbary corso by pointing only to the Maltese counter-trade. Both directions happened, on a comparable institutional scale, for roughly three centuries. The covenant standard of Devarim 19:15 requires the fuller record, not the more comfortable half of it.

Second: Recover padah/ga'al as the Torah's own answer to bondage.

The redemptionist orders' historical work — whatever its structural ironies — stands as the closest real institutional echo in this entire series to the Torah's own built-in redemption law. Teaching Vayikra 25:47-55 by name, alongside this history, keeps the covenant community's understanding of "ransom" and "redemption" anchored in the specific legal mechanism the Torah itself provides, not a vague sentiment.

Third: Name the crime the same way regardless of who commits it.

Shemot 21:16 does not carry an exemption clause for raiders who consider themselves the injured party, or for raiders acting under religious sanction. The covenant community's own application of this text — to any modern parallel — must hold to the same evenness this document has applied to the historical record.

Fourth: Recognize the human cost on both shores without erasing either.

The Icelandic families who never saw their taken kin again, the Irish village of Baltimore left permanently diminished, and the Muslim sailors who spent decades rowing Hospitaller galleys out of Valletta's harbor were all, in the Torah's own terms, victims of the same crime committed by

different hands. The covenant community's task is to hold that full record with equal honesty, not to select the half that serves a present-day argument.

X. SCRIPTURE INDEX

REFERENCE	SUBJECT	SECTION
Shemot (Exodus) 21:16	Death penalty for man-stealing	II-A
Shemot (Exodus) 21:8	Redemption reference within servitude law	II-B
Shemot (Exodus) 22:20-21	Sojourner law — no oppression of the foreigner	II-C
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15	Two-or-three-witness evidentiary standard	Throughout
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 23:15-16	Fugitive-slave protection and sanctuary	II-C
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 24:7	Kidnapping and sale of a person — capital penalty	II-A
Vayikra (Leviticus) 25:47-55	Padah/ga'al — the Torah's law of redemption	II-B

"Whoever steals a man and sells him, or if he is found in his hand, he shall surely be put to death."
Shemot (Exodus) 21:16 — The Written Covenant of Yahweh